HT content, while animals treated with 6-OHDA showed an insignificant change in brain 5-HT content. Thus the data suggest that pCPA may act through the serotoninergic systems to exert its hypothermic action.

In fact, there is growing evidence suggesting a hypothermic role for brain 5-HT in rats. For example, it has been found that intraventricular administration of 5-HT led to hypothermia in rats<sup>14,15</sup>. Moreover, in this laboratory, we found that elevating 5-HT content in brain with 5-hydroxytryptophan reduced rectal temperature in rats (Lin et al., unpublished data) after peripheral decarboxylase inhibition with R04-4602 at ambient temperatures of both 8 and 22 °C. Also, elevating 5-HT concentration in 5-HT receptor sites

- 1 This work was supported by grants from the National Science Council (Republic of China) and J. Aron Charitable Foundation (USA). The authors are grateful to Dr C.Y. Chai, Dr T.H. Yin, Dr H.H. Lu and Mr C.C. Wei for their advice and support.
- A.H. Amin, T.B.B. Crawford and J.H. Gaddum, J. Physiol. (Lond.) 126, 596 (1954).
- 3 R.F. Hellon, Pharmac. Rev. 26, 289 (1975).
- 4 N.J. Giarman, C. Tanaka, J. Mooney and E. Atkins, Adv. Pharmac. 6A, 307 (1968).
- 5 K. Koe and A. Weissman, J. Pharmac. exp. Ther. 154, 499 (1966)
- 6 A.R. Somerville and B.A. Whittle, Br. J. Pharmac. 31, 120 (1967).

or increasing functional 5-HT in brain with inhibitors of 5-HT re-uptake such as Lilly 110140 and chlorimipramine reduced rectal temperature in rats at room temperature and below (Lin et al., unpublished data). This raises the possibility that pCPA may act through the enhanced release of brain 5-HT to induced hypothermia. However, it must be acknowledged that pCPA is used as a 5-HT synthesis inhibitor rather than a 5-HT precursor<sup>5</sup>. It is not known whether the immediate inhibition of 5-HT synthesis after pCPA treatment would induce an enhanced release of 5-HT into synaptic clefts and result in a transient acute hypothermia. The possible feedback mechanism needs further verification.

- 7 M.T. Lin, W.Y. Chia, C.T. Tsai and T.H. Yin, Experientia 34, 756 (1978).
- H.G. Baumgarten, H.G., A. Bjorklund, A. Holstein and A. Nobin, Z. Zellforsch. 129, 256 (1972).
- 9 A. Bjorklund, A. Nobin and U. Stenevi, Brain Res. 53, 117 (1973).
- 10 J.G.V. Zoeren and E.M. Stricker, Am. J. Physiol. 230, 932 (1976).
- 11 M.T. Lin and J.T. Stitt, Physiologist 19, 271 (1976).
- 12 M.T. Lin, J. Pharmac. exp. Ther. 204, 39 (1978).
- 13 C. Atack and M. Lindqvist, Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmac. 279, 267 (1973).
- 14 W. Feldberg and V. J. Lotti, Br. J. Pharmac. 31, 152 (1967).
- 5 R.D. Myers and T.L. Yaksh, Physiol. Behav. 3, 917 (1968).

## Permeability coefficients of the egg-case membrane of Scyliorhinus canicula L.

## David J. Hornsey

School of Biological Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA27AY (England), 25 May 1978

Summary. The cleidoic egg-case of the dogfish appears to have a highly porous and permeable outer membrane, the pore radius being computed to be 13.6 Å. It does not present any physiological barrier to small molecules and therefore constitutes an open ionic and osmotic system for the embryo. Being a porous protein membrane it may be of value as a model for molecular transport mechanisms.

The oviparous dogfish, Scyliorhinus canicula L. lays egg-cases throughout the year and for the first 3 months of their development, until the embryo is 3 cm long, the eggs are cleidoic. Because of the osmotic importance of urea to Elasmobranchs, both Wood<sup>2</sup> and Baldwin<sup>3</sup> have suggested that the egg-case is impermeant to this solute, it being considered necessary to retain urea within the case for the embryo's survival. The urea molecule has a radius of approximately 2.3 Å which is much the same size as the water molecule. Does this imply that the egg-case is impermeant to water as well? The shark gill has been shown to be relatively impermeant to urea but freely permeable to water. Can the mechanism of urea retention in the living gill be similar to that in the dead collagenous egg-case? In this report, the permeability of the egg-case to water and some selected solutes is established.

3 coefficients of permeability categorise a membrane',  $L_p$ , the hydraulic coefficient,  $\omega$ , the diffusional coefficient, and  $\sigma_s$ , the reflection coefficient.  $\omega$  is related to the conventional permeability coefficient P, by  $P = \omega RT$  (R and T being the gas constant and absolute temperature respectively). The volume flux,  $J_v$ , brought about by an osmotic gradient,  $\Delta \pi_s$  is given by  $J_v = -L_p \sigma_s \Delta \pi_s^6$ , the negative sign being convention. The reflection coefficient is a measure of the 'leakiness' of the membrane to the solute. When  $\sigma_s = 1$ , s is impermeant and when  $\sigma_s = 0$ , s is permeant.

Methods. Areas of washed membranes were clamped between 2 glass reservoirs containing the experimental solutions. The apparatus was shaken horizontally in a water bath at 293 °K. To measure  $L_p$ , a solution of an impermeant solute, polyvinylpyrrolidone PVP ( $\Delta\pi_s\!=\!21.6$  at) was placed in 1 reservoir with a weighed amount of distilled water in the opposing one. Gravimetrically,  $J_v$  was measured and  $L_p$  calculated.  $\omega$  was determined for water with  $^3H_2O$  (0.2  $\mu\text{Ci}\,\text{ml}^{-1}$ ) in 1 compartment and assaying its appearance in the water of the opposing one. This experiment returned a value for  $\omega_T$  (T for tritiated water) calculated from the modified Fick equation:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T} = \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\Delta \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{t}}$$

where Q is the total amount of label moved in time t across an area A cm² under an isotope gradient  $\Delta C$ .  $P_s$  or  $\omega_s$  for various labelled solutes may similarly be determined. With the measured value of  $L_p$  calculated using PVP, the  $J_v$  produced by a permeant solute was used to measure the relative  $\sigma_s$  for that particular solute. Corrections for the unstirred layers<sup>7</sup> of water were unnecessary for a membrane this thick (0.4 mm).

The values for the permeability coefficients for the eggcase are shown in table 1. Whether or not there is an osmotic gradient across the membrane, the permeability coefficient,  $P_T$ , for water movement is high compared to the  $J_v$  values and is fairly constant indicating that the major contribution to water movement is purely diffusional and not bulk flow. By converting the units of  $\omega_T$  to those of  $L_D$ , by multiplying by the molal volume of water

Table 1. The permeability coefficients,  $P_T$ ,  $P_s$  and  $\sigma_S$  measured under various osmotic gradients

Osmotic gradient $\Delta \pi_s$ (at)	Solute	$P_{T}(\omega_{T}RT)$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	$\omega_{\rm T}$ (of mean) (mole cm <sup>-2</sup> sec <sup>-1</sup> at <sup>-1</sup> )		Osmotic water flow, J <sub>v</sub> (ml cm <sup>-2</sup> sec <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{ m s}$	P <sub>s</sub> (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	$P_sM^{1/2}$
zero	Sea-water	$1.49 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-4}$ (n = 5)	6.2 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>	$1.12 \times 10^{-7}$	_	-	7.4 $\pm 0.05 \times 10^{-5}$ (n = 5) (for Na ions)	$3.47 \times 10^{-4}$
zero	Distilled water	$1.3 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-4}$ (n=5)	$5.41 \times 10^{-9}$	$9.74 \times 10^{-8}$	-	-	-	-
zero	Urea	-	-	-	-	-	$4.66 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-5}$ (n = 5)	$3.6 \times 10^{-4}$
22.4	Urea	$1.3 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-4}$ (n = 7)	$5.41 \times 10^{-9}$	$9.74 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-6}$ (mean from table 2)	0.04	=	_
30.24	Sucrose	$1.33 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-4}$ (n=5)	$5.53 \times 10^{-9}$	$9.96 \times 10^{-8}$	$4.12 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ (n = 5)	1.06		-
23.7	Sea-water	$1.54 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-4}$ (n = 5)	$6.41 \times 10^{-9}$	$1.17 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.47 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ (n = 7)	0.87	-	-

 $L_p = 1.27 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-6}$  ml cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> at<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm$  SE for n readings on at least 3 membranes (n = 7).

Table 2. Volume flows (J<sub>v</sub>) through egg-case membranes separating distilled water and molal solutions of solutes

Solute	Molecular weight		$J_{\rm v}({\rm ml~cm^{-2}~sec^{-1}})$	$\frac{\sigma_{s}}{0.25}$	
Acetamide	CH <sub>3</sub> CONH <sub>2</sub> 59		$7.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$		
Formamide	HCONH <sub>2</sub>	45	$8.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-7}$	0.03	
Formic acid	HCOOH	46	$-1.67\pm0.7 \times 10^{-6}$	-0.06	
Methanol	CH <sub>3</sub> OH	32	$3.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-6}$	0.11	
Methylamine	$CH_3NH_2$	31	$6.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$	0.23	
Oxalic acid	(COOH) <sub>2</sub>	90	$-1.3 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-5}$	-0.46	
Thiourea	$CS(NH_2)_2$	76	$-2.04\pm0.1\ \times 10^{-6}$	-0.07	
Urea	$CO(NH_2)_2$	60	$1.25 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-6}$	0.04	

Values for  $\sigma_s$  taken from mean values of  $J_v \pm SE$  for 4 reading each of 2 membranes.

 $\bar{V}_{\rm w}$  (18 ml mole<sup>-1</sup>), it may be seen that the ratio  $L_{\rm p}$ :  $\bar{V}_{\rm w}\omega_{\rm T}$ is greater than unity, in this case 12.2. The difference between these coefficients measured by bulk flow and isotope methods probably implies that the membrane is porous<sup>8</sup>. In fact, assuming laminar flow through channels, the relationship9

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\bar{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{w}}\omega_{\mathbf{T}}} = \frac{\mathbf{r}^2 \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T}}{8 \, \eta \, \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{D}}$$

where r is the channel radius,  $\eta$  is the viscosity of water and D is the self-diffusion coefficient of <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O in water, holds and the pore radius is 13.6 Å. The egg-case would appear to have a very porous membrane that will allow a large number of solutes through. The solute coefficients P<sub>Na</sub> and P<sub>urea</sub> show, as expected, that urea (mol. wt 60) diffuses more slowly than the sodium ions (mol. wt 23).  $PM^{1/2}$  (where M is the mol. wt) are similar confirming the relationship  $PM^{1/2}$  = constant 10 for this membrane.

What is confusing is that  $\sigma_{NaCl} \gg \sigma_{urea}$  when calculated from the  $J_v$  values. This implies that Na ions which diffuse faster than urea through the membrane are better able to generate an osmotic flow. To investigate further the properties of molecules capable of producing an osmotic flow, molal solutions of selected solutes were opposed to distilled water in the experimental apparatus and J<sub>v</sub> determined. The results are shown in table 2. The ability to generate an osmotic flow in this experiment lies not on molecular size or weight, but, it would appear, on the presence of a CH<sub>2</sub>-group. Very low or negative  $\sigma_s$  were returned for compounds lacking these groups. These results imply a solute/solvent interaction more than a solute/membrane

Discussion. The egg-case of the dogfish would appear to be

highly permeable to water, ions and urea. In the case of water,  $L_p$  is 13 times greater than that quoted for the frog egg<sup>11</sup> and may be contrasted with the highly impermeable trout egg  $(3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm sec}^{-1} \text{ at}^{-1})^{12}$ . Why NaCl will almost generate its full osmotic potential ( $\sigma_s = 0.87$ ), where urea, a similar size molecule with a slower diffusion rate can not  $(\sigma_s = 0.04)$  is not clear, but it may be related to a charged membrane system. This membrane presents potential for the investigation of transport phenomenon through a charged protein complex and is under further

J. Needham, J. exp. Biol. 4, 114 (1926).

2 D.W. Wood, in: Principles of Animal Physiology, 2nd ed., p. 110. Edward Arnold London 1974. E. Baldwin, An Introduction to Comparative Biochemistry,

4th ed. Cambridge University Press, 1964.

J.C. Carrier and D.H. Evans, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 41A, 761 (1972).

O. Kedem and A. Katchalsky, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 27, 229 (1958).

C.R. House, Water transport in cells and tissues. Edward Arnold London 1974.

C.T. Everitt, W.R. Redwood and D.A. Haydon, J. theor. Biol. 22, 20 (1969).

R. M. Hays and A. Leaf, J. gen. Physiol. 45, 905 (1962).

A.K. Solomon, Proc. 1st. natl biophys. Conf., p. 314. Columbus, Ohio, 1959.

W.D. Stein. The movement of molecules across cell membranes. Academic Press, New York 1967.

K.H. Mild, L. Carlson and S. Løvtrup, J. Membrane Biol. 19, 221 (1974).

W.T.W. Potts and P.P. Rudy, J. exp. Biol. 50, 223 (1969).